REVIEW

Annals of Internal Medicine

Primary Care-Based Models for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder

A Scoping Review

P. Todd Korthuis, MD, MPH; Dennis McCarty, PhD; Melissa Weimer, DO, MCR; Christina Bougatsos, MPH; Ian Blazina, MPH; Bernadette Zakher, MBBS; Sara Grusing, BS; Beth Devine, PhD, PharmD, MBA; and Roger Chou, MD

Greater integration of medication-assisted treatment (MAT) for opioid use disorder (OUD) in U.S. primary care settings would expand access to treatment for this condition. Models for integrating MAT into primary care vary in structure. This article summarizes findings of a technical report for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality describing MAT models of care for OUD, based on a literature review and interviews with key informants in the field. The report describes 12 representative models of care for integrating MAT into primary care settings that could be considered for adaptation across diverse health care settings. Common components of existing care models include pharmacotherapy with buprenorphine or naltrexone, provider and community education, coordination and integration of OUD treatment with other medical and psychological needs, and psychosocial services and interventions. Models vary in how each component is implemented. Decisions about adopting MAT models of care should be individualized to address the unique milieu of each implementation setting.

Ann Intern Med. 2017;166:268-278. doi:10.7326/M16-2149Annals.orgFor author affiliations, see end of text.This article was published at Annals.org on 6 December 2016.

Opioid use disorder (OUD) is a national crisis in the United States (1). In 2014, approximately 1.9 million Americans aged 12 years or older were estimated to have an OUD related to prescription opioids, and nearly 600 000 used heroin (2). In 2013, an estimated 16 000 persons died as a result of prescription opioid overdose, and approximately 8000 died of heroin overdose (3).

Medication-assisted treatment (MAT) for OUD, also referred to as "pharmacotherapy," decreases illicit opioid use, prevents relapse, improves health, and reduces the risk for death from OUD (4). Medications approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration include a full agonist (methadone), partial opioid agonists (buprenorphine, buprenorphine-naloxone, and implantable buprenorphine), and opioid antagonists (oral and extended-release naltrexone). These medications block the euphoric and sedating effects of opioids, reduce craving for opioids, and mitigate opioid withdrawal symptoms. Medication-assisted treatment more effectively reduces opioid use than behavioral treatment alone (5, 6). Behavioral therapy addresses the psychosocial contributors to OUD and may augment retention in treatment. The Office of National Drug Control Policy and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services recently prioritized increasing access to MAT (1, 7).

Integrating MAT into primary care settings expands access to OUD treatment (8). The Drug Addiction Treatment Act of 2000 enabled physicians to prescribe buprenorphine for treatment of OUD, but its use remains limited (3, 9, 10). Understanding the most effective and promising models of care is critical for optimizing initiatives to expand access to MAT (1). Because not all MAT models are published and outcomes of different MAT models have not been compared, the Agency for

268 Annals of Internal Medicine • Vol. 166 No. 4 • 21 February 2017

Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) commissioned a scoping review to develop a taxonomy of MAT models of care for OUD, with a focus on primary care settings.

METHODS

Scope of the Review

The review protocol and methods are detailed in the full report (11) (www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov /reports/final.cfm). The review describes representative MAT models of care in primary care settings and does not provide an exhaustive list of models for MAT integration. Representative models were selected on the basis of their influence on current clinical practice, their innovativeness, or their focus on MAT for specific primary care populations or settings.

Eleven key informants (8 nonfederal and 3 federal) with experience implementing MAT for OUD in primary care settings were interviewed between March and June 2016 (Table 1). We facilitated small group telephone discussions using a semistructured guide (Appendix Table 1, available at Annals.org), asking participants to identify MAT models used in primary care (regardless of whether they were published) and to specify key model components. Calls were recorded, summarized, and shared with the group for clarification and additional input. On the basis of key informant input, we developed a framework categorizing key components of MAT models to provide a structure for future research and discussion. We then integrated input from the key informants with the available literature.

We searched for literature describing MAT models in primary care settings or their effectiveness from 1995 through June 2016 using Ovid MEDLINE, PsycINFO, the Cochrane Library, SocINDEX, and CINAHL (Appendix Table 2, available at Annals.org); reviewed reference lists; and solicited additional references from key informants. We also searched gray literature sources (ClinicalTrials.gov, Health Services Research Projects in Progress, Google Scholar, NIH RePORTER, and Web sites of government agencies with MAT initiatives) and e-mailed stakeholders about the opportunity to submit scientific information packets for ongoing or unpublished research. The literature review provided descriptive and contextual information on the models to supplement key informant interviews. The search identified 5892 abstracts; we reviewed 475 full-text articles (27 of which informed descriptions of MAT models of care) and 14 gray literature citations (Table 2).

Role of the Funding Source

This topic was selected by the AHRQ for systematic review by an Evidence-based Practice Center. A representative from the AHRQ who served as a Contracting Officer's Technical Representative provided technical assistance during the conduct of the full evidence review and provided comments on draft versions of the full evidence report. The AHRQ did not directly participate in the literature search; determination of study eligibility criteria; data analysis or interpretation; or preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript for publication.

RESULTS

Key informants consistently noted 4 key components of MAT models in primary care: pharmacotherapy with buprenorphine or naltrexone, provider and community educational interventions (such as inperson, Web-based, and telehealth provider continuing medical education [CME] activities; communitybased advertising campaigns; and stakeholder conferences), coordination and integration of OUD treatment with other medical and psychological needs, and psychosocial services (such as counseling on-site or by referral). Models varied in the degree of component implementation.

Table 3 summarizes 12 representative models of MAT care and how the 4 key components are addressed. We included models that contained all 4 key components and that met criteria for effectiveness, innovation, and addressing special populations (for example, rural settings, patients with HIV, and prenatal care). Ten models were described by key informants, 6 were described in the published literature, and 7 were described in gray literature sources (Table 2). We categorized 4 models as primarily practice-based and 8 as systems-based, though most have elements of both. For each model, we discuss clinician-, practice-, and system-level factors, including financing, evidence of effectiveness, challenges, and situations in which the model is most likely to be feasible and effective.

Practice-Based Models

Office-Based Opioid Treatment

In office-based opioid treatment (OBOT), physicians who complete 8 hours of training and receive a Drug Enforcement Administration waiver number may prescribe buprenorphine-naloxone in the context of primary care (12, 13). Although many providers offer OBOT without staff assistance, some practices designate a clinic staff member (often a nurse or social worker) to coordinate buprenorphine prescribing (14-

Annals.org

Table 1. Key Informants (n = 11)

Representative, by Stakeholder

Clinicians with experience treating OUD in the primary care setting (n = 5) Internal medicine/addictionologist

Family medicine/addictionologist Addiction psychiatrist Psychologist Registered nurse

Policy experts in OUD treatment implementation (n = 4)

Health Resources and Services Administration HIV/AIDS Bureau Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse National Association of State Alcohol and Drug Abuse Directors

Professional societies (n = 1)

American Association for the Treatment of Opioid Dependence

Patient perspective (n = 1)

Patient in recovery who also directs an opioid treatment program

OUD = opioid use disorder.

16). Psychosocial services include brief counseling provided on-site by the physician or other staff and off-site referrals. Office-based opioid treatment is financed through provider reimbursement of billable visits. Medicare and many state Medicaid programs cover buprenorphine, though prior authorization is frequently required. The Providers' Clinical Support System for MAT (http://pcssmat.org), funded by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, is a free systems-level resource that supports OBOT implementation nationally with provider education and mentoring. Retention in treatment and opioid use outcomes with OBOT are similar to those in methadone treatment programs, with 38% retention at 2 years and 91% of urine toxicology screens negative for opioids among those retained in 1 long-term cohort study (14).

Office-based opioid treatment may be particularly advantageous for reaching persons with OUD who are already engaged in primary care and offers an alternative for patients who cannot access methadone treatment programs. Challenges include a variable scope of psychosocial services and structure required for management of complex patients. Also, nurse practitioners and physician assistants-important providers of primary care in rural areas-are currently not eligible to prescribe buprenorphine.

Buprenorphine HIV Evaluation and Support Collaborative Model

The Buprenorphine HIV Evaluation and Support (BHIVES) Collaborative model adapted the OBOT framework to integrate buprenorphine treatment into primary care for HIV-infected patients (17-26). Primary care providers in 9 HIV clinics provided buprenorphine, facilitated by a nonphysician coordinator and variable on-site psychosocial services. The BHIVES cohort of 303 participants receiving buprenorphine showed 49% treatment retention at 12 months, and opioid use in the previous 30 days decreased from 84% at baseline to

Annals of Internal Medicine • Vol. 166 No. 4 • 21 February 2017 269

Table 2. Sources for MAT Models of Care					
Model	Published Literature Gray Literature				
Practice-based models					
OBOT	Fiellin et al, 2002 (16)* Fiellin et al, 2006 (15)* Fiellin et al, 2008 (14)	-	\checkmark		
Buprenorphine HIV Evaluation and Support Collaborative model	Altice et al, 2011 (17) Chaudhry et al, 2011 (56) Cheever et al, 2011 (57) Egan et al, 2011 (58) Fiellin et al, 2011 (18) Finkelstein et al, 2011 (59) Friedland and Vlahov, 2011 (60) Korthuis et al, 2011 (19) Korthuis et al, 2011 (20) Lucas et al, 2010 (21)* Lum et al, 2011 (61) Schackman et al, 2011 (62) Sullivan et al, 2016 (23)* Sullivan et al, 2011 (63) Vergara-Rodriguez et al, 2011 (64) Weiss et al, 2011 (25) Weiss et al, 2011 (26)	www.careacttarget.org/library/beehive-buprenorphine- program-tools (24) www.slideshare.net/SarahCookRaymond/buprenorphine -therapy-in-the-hiv-pruma (22)	√ 		
One-stop shop model	-	www.lifespringhealthsystems.org/about-us/locations (33)	\checkmark		
Integrated prenatal care and MAT (expert suggestion)	-	-	-		
Hub-and-spoke	-	www.pcpcc.org/initiative/vermont-hub-and-spokes-health	/		
model (Vermont)		-homes (39) www.healthvermont.gov/adap/documents/HUBSPOKEBriefing DocV122112.pdf (40) www.leg.state.vt.us/reports/2014ExternalReports/299315.pdf (38) www.achp.org/wp-content/uploads/Vermont-Health-Homes-for- Opiate-Addiction-September-2013.pdf (41)	v		
Medicaid health home model	-	www.medicaid.gov/Federal-Policy-Guidance/Downloads/CIB -07-11-2014.pdf (36) www.medicaid.gov/state-resource-center/medicaid-state- technical-assistance/health-homes-technical-assistance/ downloads/theirc-health-homes-opied-dependency.pdf (37)	\checkmark		
Project ECHO (New Mexico)	Komaromy et al, 2016 (42)	http://echo.unm.edu/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Opioid -Abuse-and-Addiction-Management-Protocol.pdf (43) www.aafp.org/news/chapter-of-the-month/20140930nmafp- chapspot.html (44)	\checkmark		
Collaborative opioid prescribing model (Maryland)	Stoller, 2015 (46)	www.atforum.com/pdf/CoOPtalkforONDCP_SAMHSA Aug2015Stoller.pdf (45)	\checkmark		
Massachusetts nurse care manager model	Alford et al, 2007 (65) Alford et al, 2011 (47) LaBelle et al, 2016 (48)	www.mass.gov/eohhs/gov/departments/dph/stop-addiction/get- help-types-of-treatment.html (66)	\checkmark		
ED initiation of OBOT	D'Onofrio et al, 2015 (49)*	-	\checkmark		
Inpatient initiation of MAT	Liebschutz et al, 2014 (50)*	-	-		
Southern Oregon model	-	www.oregonpainguidance.org (67)	\checkmark		

ECHO = Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes; ED = emergency department; MAT = medication-assisted treatment; OBOT = officebased opioid treatment.

* Randomized, controlled trial evaluating the model of care.

42% at 12 months (18). The BHIVES model is recommended as the standard of care for engaging HIVinfected patients with OUD in treatment (27-29). Buprenorphine and HIV care are typically covered by patient insurance. Funding from the Ryan White Comprehensive AIDS Resources Emergency Act (30) supplements medication coverage, care coordination, and counseling services in some states. An advantage of the BHIVES model is that it addresses MAT, HIV care, and primary care within a single setting (31). Challenges include limited financial support for on-site counseling in clinics without designated Ryan White funding. The Providers' Clinical Support System for MAT includes physician mentors with expertise in HIV care.

One-Stop Shop Model

The one-stop shop model was developed in response to an outbreak of HIV infection in rural Indiana that was due to sharing infected syringes (32) where

Model Summarv Components Pharmacologic Education/Outreach Coordination/ Psychosocial Other Integration of Care Practice-based models OBOT Buprenorphine Primarily Not a major A nonphysician clinic Physician or other on-site prescribed by or off-site counseling buprenorphinecomponent; staff member is PCSS-MAT sometimes used to primary care naloxone at least monthly; other providers who available to mentor coordinate MAT psychosocial services complete DATA primary care prescribing and vary, including 2000 waiver integrating with providers integrated cognitive behavioral therapy and training primary and mental health care motivational enhancement therapy; some psychosocial services off-site Buprenorphine OBOT adaptation for Buprenorphine-Patient and provider Treatment for OUD On-site psychological Coordination services vary, including HIV Evaluation educational and primary care, with OTP for providina naloxone buprenorphinematerial available including HIV care individual and group and Support patients Collaborative naloxone in an HIV online integrated in the counseling switching to same setting. A model primary care clinic or from nonphysician clinic setting methadone staff member coordinates care and collaborates with the HIV primary care provider Integrated model Provider education in Treatment for OUD, Centered in a mental One-stop shop Primarily Syringe based in mental MAT and mental health, and health clinic that exchange model naltrexone health clinic to management of provides and other primary care provide HIV/HCV infection (including comprehensive services psychological services; HIV/HCV care) also avail-"one-stop," comprehensive provided in the psychiatrist once able; model management of same setting. Peer weekly developed HIV/HCV infection navigators and to respond and MAT social workers to specific provide outbreak of coordination with HIV and HCV primary care providers infection in rural area Model providing Buprenorphine Primary care clinic Services provided on-site Integrated Not a major prenatal care prenatal care to component, provides MAT, as or via partnering OTP and MAT pregnant women though PCSS-MAT well as prenatal who are treated available and postpartum with care; care buprenorphine continued in office-based setting for 1 y after delivery. In some programs, women can work with doulas System-based models Hub-and-spoke Centralized intake Primarily Outreach to Coordination/ Embedded in spoke Hubs provide buprenorphineconsultative model and initial prescribers in the integration sites, including social (Vermont) management naloxone community to between hub and workers, counseling, services and (buprenorphine increase the spoke as well as and community health are induction) at number of within each available to teams "hub"; patients are physicians with primary care site manage then connected to buprenorphine spoke. Registered clinically "spokes" in the prescribing waivers nurse clinician complex community for case manager patients: ongoing and/or care support management connector (peer or tapering of behavioral health MAT; or specialist) for prescribe coordination/ methadone, integration of care if needed. at spokes

Table 3. Overview of MAT Models of Care for OUD in the Primary Care Setting*

Annals.org

Continued on following page

REVIEW

Model	Summary	Components				
		Pharmacologic	Education/Outreach	Coordination/ Integration of Care	Psychosocial	Other
Medicaid health home model	A flexible model that provides MAT in combination with behavioral health therapies and integrated with primary care	Primarily buprenorphine- naloxone	Provider and community education emphasized to increase uptake and decrease stigma	Required component, but mechanism of coordination varies	6 core psychosocial services are required: comprehensive care management, care coordination, health promotion, comprehensive transitional care/follow-up, individual and family support, and referral to community and social support	Some telehealth services offered
Project ECHO (New Mexico)	Model of care for linking primary care clinics in rural areas with a university health system, emphasizing NP or PA screening and MAT (physician prescribing) combined with counseling and behavioral therapies	Primarily buprenorphine- naloxone	Mentored buprenorphine prescribing for providers, including an Internet-based, audiovisual network for provider education. Free buprenorphine training provided several times yearly. ECHO staff provide patient education 1-to-1 or in group setting	NP/PA performs initial evaluation and screening to educate patient and refer to collaborating physician for treatment. NP/PA performs monitoring treatment and follow-up appointments, including laboratory tests, urine testing, monitoring, patient education and support, and other coordination (e.g., vaccinations)	Counseling and behavioral therapies offered from all ECHO team members, including CHWs; however, CHWs and NPs provide education/ support; psychosocial support, including 12-step programs; crisis counseling; referrals; and relapse- prevention plans	Refer any patients with high or moderate risk scores for opioid use to NP for further assessment and/or referral to OTP
Collaborative opioid prescribing model (Maryland)	Links OTPs with office-based buprenorphine providers; initial intake, induction, and stabilization performed at OTP then shifted to primary care clinic	Buprenorphine- naloxone	Outreach performed by counselors to community physicians	Initial assessment, psychosocial treatment, and expert consultation initiated in drug treatment program and patients transitioned to primary care in a federally qualified health center after ctabilization	Provided concurrently via OTP, including ongoing counseling and monitoring	In Baltimore, Maryland, supports to facilitate access to health coverage through Medicaid and to coordinate care through HealthCare Access Maryland

Continued on following page

there were no existing OUD or HIV treatment services. Based in an existing mental health clinic, the model provides integrated care for HIV and hepatitis C virus infection, MAT, mental health, primary care, and syringe exchange (33). A primary care provider embedded in the mental health clinic prescribes extendedrelease naltrexone and antiretroviral therapy. Financing is from a combination of existing Medicaid and federal

272 Annals of Internal Medicine • Vol. 166 No. 4 • 21 February 2017

Downloaded from https://annals.org by guest on 04/24/2020

funding. Although comprehensive care is attractive in any setting, this model might be particularly useful for quick deployment in other specific OUD and HIV outbreaks. However, it requires rapid training of willing local providers and state and federal resources for outbreak response, and its effect on outcomes and reproducibility in other settings have not been assessed.

Model	Summary	Components				
		Pharmacologic	Education/Outreach	Coordination/ Integration of Care	Psychosocial	Other
Massachusetts nurse care manager model	A primary care-based model that teams nurse care managers with primary care physicians; nurse care managers generally perform initial screening, intake, education, observed/supports induction, follow-up, maintenance, stabilization, and medical management with the physician and team	Primarily buprenorphine- naloxone, with recent addition of extended-release naltrexone	A training program exists to get more physicians (especially residents) and faculty on board. The Department of Public Health trains staff on best practices. Nurse care managers receive 8 h of training in MAT, shadowing in model MAT site, site visits, e-mail and telephone support, case review, quarterly training, and an addiction listserv	Nurse care managers (registered nurses or family NPs) manage 100 to 125 patients alongside primary care clinicians, with assistance from a medical assistant. Alternatively, care partners (usually persons with a master's degree) assist the primary care staff with screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment	Psychological services are integrated on-site or nearby	Patients who require a higher level of care can be expedited into an OTP, assistance with transfers of care, and day-support programs
ED initiation of OBOT	Model involving ED identification of OUD; buprenorphine- naloxone induction initiated in the ED; coordination with OBOT, nurse with expertise in buprenorphine working in collaboration with primary care clinician	Buprenorphine- naloxone	Not a major component	OUD identified in ED and patients started on buprenorphine therapy and connected to ongoing OBOT provided by physicians and nurses for 10 wk, then transferred to office-based ongoing maintenance treatment or detoxification	"Medical management" counseling visits with physician and nurse	-
Inpatient initiation of MAT	Model involving identification of OUD in the hospital and connecting patients to office-based MAT and primary care	Buprenorphine- naloxone and naltrexone	Not a major component	MAT started by multidisciplinary addiction consult service during medical hospitalization and connected with primary care. Treatment continued in primary care; some programs have buprenorphine "bridge" clinic before transition to primary care	Provided at primary care site	-
Southern Oregon model	A local and informal model for delivery of MAT in a rural primary care network	Almost exclusively buprenorphine- naloxone	A group of local stakeholders from many perspectives who prescribes opioids (Oregon Pain Guidance) meets regularly to develop guidance and provide education	Relatively limited support for coordination/ integration of care	On-site licensed clinical social worker with experience in treating patients for pain and addiction, not necessarily in MAT	Access to OTPs for complex patients not formally integrated

CHW = community health worker; DATA 2000 = Drug Addiction Treatment Act of 2000; ECHO = Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes; ED = emergency department; HCV = hepatitis C virus; MAT = medication-assisted treatment; NP = nurse practitioner; OBOT = office-based opioid treatment; OTP = opioid treatment program; OUD = opioid use disorder; PA = physician assistant; PCSS-MAT = Providers' Clinical Support System for Medication-Assisted Treatment.

* Includes rural or other underserved settings.

Integrated Prenatal Care and MAT

The integrated prenatal care model integrates buprenorphine treatment with primary and prenatal care for pregnant women with OUD. Office-based buprenorphine maintenance therapy is continued after delivery. Psychosocial services are provided on-site in some practices or through affiliated opioid treatment programs (OTPs). Although outcomes in primary carebased settings have not been assessed, outcome studies conducted in OTPs suggest a reduction in neonatal abstinence syndrome when pregnant women with OUD receive maintenance treatment with buprenorphine rather than methadone (34, 35). This model is typically financed through existing Medicaid and other insurance reimbursement. Advantages include identification of women not previously engaged in OUD care, increased maternal motivation for OUD treatment due to concerns about the fetus, and provision of ongoing MAT maintenance in the postpartum period. A potential challenge is that the physician may reach their buprenorphine prescribing limit as more women seek to continue maintenance treatment after delivery.

Systems-Based Models

Medicaid Health Home Model

The Medicaid health home model is a flexible federal program through the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services that allows states that apply for a Medicaid waiver to integrate MAT and behavioral health therapies with primary care for patients with OUD (36, 37). Primary care physicians prescribe buprenorphine as the primary pharmacotherapy, with financing through usual Medicaid coverage. Provider and community education (for example, provider outreach, CME conferences, and community advertising) is emphasized to increase uptake by clinicians and patients and to decrease stigma. Robust psychosocial services are required. Demonstrations in Rhode Island and Maryland implemented Medicaid health home models in OTPs or psychiatric clinics rather than in primary care clinic settings (37). States determine the structure of health care delivery (for example, hub-and-spoke models in Vermont) and the approach to payment, which may include per-member, per-month payments (Maryland) and weekly bundled payments (Rhode Island) that fund care coordinators in addition to other billable health care services. Advantages include required care coordination and core psychosocial services, an emphasis on provider and community education, and flexibility in enabling service delivery and provision according to the needs and resources of a particular state. Medicaid health home models may be particularly wellsuited for states with a high prevalence of OUD and state governments seeking payment structures that promote broader integration of primary care, psychosocial, and MAT services for OUD.

Hub-and-Spoke Model

The hub-and-spoke model, developed in Vermont, triages patients to 2 levels of care on the basis of need during initial screening (38-41). "Spokes" are primary

274 Annals of Internal Medicine • Vol. 166 No. 4 • 21 February 2017

care clinics that provide MAT for less complex patients by using an OBOT approach. "Hubs" are regional OTPs that care for more complex patients, dispense methadone if needed, support tapering off MAT, and provide consultative services to the spokes. Patients may transfer between a hub and a spoke on the basis of changing care needs.

Buprenorphine has been the primary pharmacotherapy in this model. Vermont incentivized implementation of buprenorphine prescribing by funding online buprenorphine waiver training for spoke physicians and other technical assistance. It also incentivized hubs by funding behavioral health specialists. Coordination and integration occur between the hub and the spoke and within each spoke and are typically carried out by a registered nurse or a case manager. Psychosocial services are embedded within spokes, including social workers, counselors, and community health teams. The model is financed through a Medicaid health home model waiver state block grant. Its effect on outcomes has not been published.

The hub-and-spoke model may be particularly well-suited for states with rural OUD populations where treatment services are limited. Important advantages include availability of tiered care and integration of primary care with regional OUD management expertise, use of care coordinators, and embedded psychosocial services at the spoke sites. Potential challenges include the unavailability of OTP hubs in all settings that wish to implement MAT.

Project Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes

Project Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes (ECHO) links primary care clinics in rural New Mexico with a university health system utilizing an Internet-based audiovisual network for mentoring and education (42-44) and has also been adapted to support rural primary care providers in MAT management. It emphasizes nurse practitioner- or physician assistantbased screening, with referral to a collaborating physician before initiation of MAT and for ongoing treatment. Counseling and behavioral therapies are offered by all ECHO team members during weekly teleconferences. Complex patients can be referred to an OTP. Project ECHO recruits physicians for buprenorphine waiver training and provision of CME in OUD management. The ECHO model may be considered a rural adaptation of the hub-and-spoke model or the collaborative opioid prescribing model. It is financed through various federal grants and Medicaid.

Patient-level outcomes have not been assessed, but the ECHO model has increased per capita numbers of rural primary care providers with buprenorphine prescribing waivers in New Mexico (42). Advantages include a strong emphasis on psychosocial services, CME credits for teleconference participation, and collaboration with mid-level rural providers for initial screening. This model aims to enhance the capacity of rural primary care providers to treat OUD. Challenges include limited availability of face-to-face expertise in MAT for high-risk patients and a lack of direct contact between off-site experts and patients.

Collaborative Opioid Prescribing Model

The collaborative opioid prescribing model, developed in Maryland (45, 46), is another tiered model of care with centralized initial intake, buprenorphine induction and stabilization at an OTP, and transfer to primary care clinicians for ongoing MAT. Unlike in the hub-and-spoke model, OTPs perform intake, induction, and stabilization in all patients and provide ongoing psychosocial services for patients transferred to primary care. Its effect on patient outcomes has not been assessed. This model is likely to be well-suited for primary care practices that are geographically close to OTPs. Financing is through Medicaid and private insurance. Advantages are similar to those of the hub-andspoke model, with the added benefit of ongoing OTP psychosocial services. Challenges include the geographic proximity required between OTPs and primary care sites and limited OTP capacity.

Massachusetts Nurse Care Manager Model

Massachusetts Medicaid reimburses nurse care managers in federally qualified health centers who are supporting physicians in the provision of buprenorphine or naltrexone for OUD treatment. The nurse care manager performs screening, intake, and education of patients and scheduling with a prescriber and facilitates ongoing medical and OUD management. The prescribing physician confirms the OUD diagnosis and appropriateness of MAT and comanages the patient with the nurse care manager. Psychosocial services are integrated on-site or nearby. Patients who require a higher level of care receive expedited OTP referral. The model is financed through direct Medicaid reimbursement to federally qualified health centers for nurse care manager time as a billable service, in addition to usual Medicaid coverage for pharmacotherapy and physician visits.

A pilot study of 408 patients enrolled in this program reported that 51% had received buprenorphine treatment at 1 year, and 91% of those retained on a regimen of buprenorphine at 12 months had urine toxicology screens that were negative for opioids (47). Advantages include utilization of a skilled nonphysician to offload prescribing physician burden, an emphasis on provider training, and financial sustainability through Medicaid-reimbursed nurse care manager visits. This model may be attractive over a wide range of primary care practices in states with Medicaid programs or other payers that could adopt reimbursement of nurse care manager visits for OUD. An evaluation of statewide scale-up noted a 375% increase in the number of physicians with buprenorphine prescribing waivers within 3 years (48). Challenges include variable availability of psychosocial services and nurse care managers and, in most states, a lack of Medicaid coverage for nurse care management of OUD.

Annals.org

Emergency Department Initiation of OBOT

This model focuses on emergency department (ED) identification of OUD and initiation of buprenorphine treatment (49). Emergency department physicians assess patients for OUD and begin buprenorphine induction in appropriate candidates during their ED visit. Patients are discharged with instructions for continuation of home induction and stabilization doses and are connected to primary care OBOT for ongoing management. Brief physician counseling is performed during the ED visit, and other psychosocial services vary.

A randomized trial of ED-initiated buprenorphine treatment versus referral or brief intervention showed 78% engagement in buprenorphine treatment at 30 days compared with 37% in the referral group and 45% in the brief intervention group. The number of days of illicit opioid use per week decreased from 5.4 to 0.9 in the buprenorphine group versus 5.4 to 2.3 in the referral group and 5.6 to 2.4 in the brief intervention group (49).

Medications, ED visits, and OBOT are funded through patient Medicaid and other insurance plans. This model is promising for scale-up to other ED settings with high prevalence of OUD and strong linkages to primary care OBOT. Advantages include enhanced access to MAT for patients who may not be accessing primary care or OTPs and improved engagement in OUD treatment compared with passive referral. Potential challenges include patient reliance on EDs to access treatment.

Inpatient Initiation of MAT

This model identifies OUDs among hospitalized patients, initiates MAT, and links to ongoing community-based treatment after discharge (50-52). Financing is from Medicaid and other insurance coverage, often requiring prior authorization for outpatient prescriptions before hospital discharge. Linkage with ongoing psychosocial services varies. In 1 study, 72% of inpatients with OUD who were randomly assigned to buprenorphine stabilization engaged in OBOT versus 12% of those randomly assigned to buprenorphine detoxification (50). This model requires hospital support for inpatient consult services. Advantages include identification of patients with complex morbidity and high risk for death who may not otherwise access MAT, increased retention in care, and potential for linkage to OBOT for ongoing management. Patients initiating methadone treatment, which cannot be prescribed by primary care providers for OUD, would not be eligible for OBOT referral.

Southern Oregon Model

The Southern Oregon model is an example of a local, informal model for MAT delivery in a network of rural primary care clinics. It focuses on OBOT with buprenorphine and uses regular meetings of regional stakeholders, including regional Medicaid accountable care organizations (53) and primary care providers, for

education, training, and development of practice standards around opioid prescribing for chronic pain and OUD treatment. Coordination or integration of care is variable and often limited, though an on-site clinical social worker is available in some clinics. The model is financed through direct support from accountable care organizations and usual fee-for-service billing.

The Southern Oregon model may be well-suited for rural health providers in states that have implemented the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and have accountable care organizations that can promote community-wide support for MAT. An advantage of this model is that it is community-based, which may help to overcome stigma and resistance to MAT use. Challenges include a lack of well-defined key components and limited psychosocial services and care coordination and integration.

DISCUSSION

Addressing the OUD epidemic in the United States will require diverse approaches over many years. We identified 12 representative models of integration of MAT into primary care that may be considered for adaptation and expansion across diverse health care settings.

All models contained some degree of 4 key components: pharmacologic therapy, psychosocial services, integration of care, and education and outreach. Models varied in their relative emphasis on these components, though common themes included the importance of a nonphysician coordinator and the use of tiered approaches. The ideal model of care for a particular setting likely depends on local factors, such as available expertise, the population, proximity to an addiction center of excellence, reimbursement policies, and geography. Decisions about MAT models of care should therefore be individualized to address the unique milieu of each implementation setting. It may be appropriate to combine elements of different models of care (for example, to implement care coordination by a nurse care manager within a hub-and-spoke model) or to link models of care (for example, ED- or inpatient-based screening and initiation of treatment linked with OBOT).

Ten of the 12 MAT models provided sublingual buprenorphine-naltrexone pharmacotherapy. Although implantable buprenorphine was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in 2016, research on its use in primary care settings is lacking. Two randomized trials showed efficacy of extended-release naltrexone for OUD in addiction treatment settings (54, 55), but its effectiveness for OUD in primary care settings has not been studied and its use is limited. Expanding evidence-based, long-acting MAT options could broaden patient choice, reduce the risk for diversion, and decrease the need for frequent follow-up in appropriate patients.

Barriers to implementing MAT include a lack of trained primary care providers, reimbursement models that do not support care coordination and psychosocial

services, persistent stigma associated with MAT, and long travel times for patients in rural areas (11). Strategies to address these barriers include integration of training and education, use of nonphysicians, development of reimbursement models to support MAT delivery, use of tele-education, tiered care models, and stakeholder engagement.

Our report has potential limitations. The specific models described provide a representative taxonomy of ways to integrate MAT into primary care rather than an exhaustive list. No study has compared outcomes of different MAT models of care, and some models have not been reported in the published literature. Other challenges include overlapping characteristics of care models, variable levels of structure, and adaptation to specific settings.

Important areas of uncertainty include optimal methods for measuring quality of MAT care; assessment tools to better individualize care; optimal psychosocial components of MAT; cost and cost-effectiveness; methods for reducing diversion; optimal methods for coordination and integration of care; and the effectiveness of mid-level prescribing, newer MAT, and telehealth and telemedicine approaches (11). Research in these areas is needed.

Existing MAT models of care can inform expanded implementation in primary care settings. Decisions about adopting MAT models of care should be individualized to address the unique milieu of each implementation setting.

From Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, and University of Washington, Seattle, Washington.

Disclaimer: The authors of this manuscript are responsible for its content. Statements in the manuscript should not be construed as endorsement by the AHRQ or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The AHRQ retains a license to display, reproduce, and distribute the data and the report from which this manuscript was derived under the terms of the agency's contract with the author.

Financial Support: This project was funded under contract HHSA290201500009I, Task Order 4, from the AHRQ, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Disclosures: Dr. McCarty reports research services agreements with Alkermes and Purdue Pharma outside the submitted work. Dr. Weimer reports personal fees from Indivior, the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry, InforMED, and CODA outside the submitted work. Authors not named here have disclosed no conflicts of interest. Disclosures can also be viewed at www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOf InterestForms.do?msNum=M16-2149.

Requests for Single Reprints: P. Todd Korthuis, MD, MPH, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Mail Code L-475, Portland, OR 97239-3098; e-mail, korthuis@ohsu.edu.

Current author addresses and author contributions are available at Annals.org.

References

1. Macrae J, Hyde P, Slavitt A. HHS launches multi-pronged effort to combat opioid abuse. HHS Blog. 27 July 2015. Accessed at www.hhs.gov/blog/2015/07/27/hhs-launches-multi-pronged-effort-combat-opioid-abuse.html on 8 August 2016.

2. Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. Behavioral Health Trends in the United States: Results from the 2014 National Survey on Drug Use and Health. (Prepared by RTI International under contract no. HHSS283201300001C.) HHS publication no. SMA 15-4927. Rockville: Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality; 2015.

3. National Institute on Drug Abuse. Overdose Death Rates. February 2015. Accessed at www.drugabuse.gov/related-topics/trends -statistics/overdose-death-rates on 8 August 2016.

4. National Institute on Drug Abuse. Topics in Brief: Medication-Assisted Treatment for Opioid Addiction. 2012. Accessed at www .drugabuse.gov/sites/default/files/tib_mat_opioid.pdf on 19 October 2016.

5. Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone maintenance for opioid dependence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014:CD002207. [PMID: 24500948] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002207.pub4

6. Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Methadone maintenance therapy versus no opioid replacement therapy for opioid dependence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009:CD002209. [PMID: 19588333] doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002209.pub2

7. The White House. Presidential Memorandum–Addressing Prescription Drug Abuse and Heroin Use. 21 October 2015. Accessed at www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/10/21/presidential -memorandum-addressing-prescription-drug-abuse-and-heroin on 8 August 2016.

8. Cicero TJ, Ellis MS, Surratt HL, Kurtz SP. The changing face of heroin use in the United States: a retrospective analysis of the past 50 years. JAMA Psychiatry. 2014;71:821-6. [PMID: 24871348] doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.366

9. Jones CM, Campopiano M, Baldwin G, McCance-Katz E. National and state treatment need and capacity for opioid agonist medication-assisted treatment. Am J Public Health. 2015;105:e55-63. [PMID: 26066931] doi:10.2105/AJPH.2015.302664

10. Carrieri MP, Rey D, Loundou A, Lepeu G, Sobel A, Obadia Y; MANIF-2000 Study Group. Evaluation of buprenorphine maintenance treatment in a French cohort of HIV-infected injecting drug users. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2003;72:13-21. [PMID: 14563539]

11. Chou R, Korthuis PT, Weimer M, Bougatsos C, Blazina I, Zakher B, et al. Medication-Assisted Treatment Models of Care for Opioid Use Disorder in Primary Care Settings. Draft technical brief. (Prepared by the Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center under contract no. 290201500009I.) Rockville: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2016.

12. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. Substance Abuse Treatment: Group Therapy. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) series no. 41. HHS publication no. (SMA) 15-3991. Rockville: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2005.

13. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Drug Addiction Treatment Act of 2000; 2000. Public Law 106-310, 106th Congress.

14. Fiellin DA, Moore BA, Sullivan LE, Becker WC, Pantalon MV, Chawarski MC, et al. Long-term treatment with buprenorphine/ naloxone in primary care: results at 2-5 years. Am J Addict. 2008;17: 116-20. [PMID: 18393054] doi:10.1080/10550490701860971

15. Fiellin DA, Pantalon MV, Chawarski MC, Moore BA, Sullivan LE, O'Connor PG, et al. Counseling plus buprenorphine-naloxone maintenance therapy for opioid dependence. N Engl J Med. 2006;355: 365-74. [PMID: 16870915]

16. Fiellin DA, Pantalon MV, Pakes JP, O'Connor PG, Chawarski M, Schottenfeld RS. Treatment of heroin dependence with buprenorphine in primary care. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse. 2002;28:231-41. [PMID: 12014814]

17. Altice FL, Bruce RD, Lucas GM, Lum PJ, Korthuis PT, Flanigan TP, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. HIV treatment outcomes among HIV-

Annals.org

infected, opioid-dependent patients receiving buprenorphine/ naloxone treatment within HIV clinical care settings: results from a multisite study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S22-32. [PMID: 21317590] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e318209751e

18. Fiellin DA, Weiss L, Botsko M, Egan JE, Altice FL, Bazerman LB, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. Drug treatment outcomes among HIVinfected opioid-dependent patients receiving buprenorphine/ naloxone. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S33-8. [PMID: 21317592] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e3182097537

19. Korthuis PT, Fiellin DA, Fu R, Lum PJ, Altice FL, Sohler N, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. Improving adherence to HIV quality of care indicators in persons with opioid dependence: the role of buprenorphine. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S83-90. [PMID: 21317600] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31820bc9a5

20. Korthuis PT, Tozzi MJ, Nandi V, Fiellin DA, Weiss L, Egan JE, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. Improved quality of life for opioiddependent patients receiving buprenorphine treatment in HIV clinics. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S39-45. [PMID: 21317593] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e318209754c

21. Lucas GM, Chaudhry A, Hsu J, Woodson T, Lau B, Olsen Y, et al. Clinic-based treatment of opioid-dependent HIV-infected patients versus referral to an opioid treatment program: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2010;152:704-11. [PMID: 20513828] doi:10.7326 /0003-4819-152-11-201006010-00003

22. **Raymond SC.** Integrating Buprenorphine Opioid Abuse Treatment Into HIV Primary Care: Webinar Series. 2012. Accessed at www.slideshare.net/SarahCookRaymond/buprenorphine-therapyin-the-hiv-pruma on 8 August 2016.

23. Sullivan LE, Barry D, Moore BA, Chawarski MC, Tetrault JM, Pantalon MV, et al. A trial of integrated buprenorphine/naloxone and HIV clinical care. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;43 Suppl 4:S184-90. [PMID: 17109305]

24. TARGET Center. BEEHIVE Buprenorphine Program Tools. 2009. Accessed at www.careacttarget.org/library/beehive-buprenorphine -program-tools on 8 August 2016.

25. Weiss L, Egan JE, Botsko M, Netherland J, Fiellin DA, Finkelstein R. The BHIVES Collaborative: organization and evaluation of a multisite demonstration of integrated buprenorphine/naloxone and HIV treatment. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S7-13. [PMID: 21317598] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e3182097426

26. Weiss L, Netherland J, Egan JE, Flanigan TP, Fiellin DA, Finkelstein R, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. Integration of buprenorphine/ naloxone treatment into HIV clinical care: lessons from the BHIVES Collaborative. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S68-75. [PMID: 21317597] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31820a8226

27. Thompson MA, Aberg JA, Hoy JF, Telenti A, Benson C, Cahn P, et al. Antiretroviral treatment of adult HIV infection: 2012 recommendations of the International Antiviral Society-USA panel. JAMA. 2012; 308:387-402. [PMID: 22820792] doi:10.1001/jama.2012.7961

28. Thompson MA, Mugavero MJ, Amico KR, Cargill VA, Chang LW, Gross R, et al. Guidelines for improving entry into and retention in care and antiretroviral adherence for persons with HIV: evidencebased recommendations from an International Association of Physicians in AIDS Care panel. Ann Intern Med. 2012;156:817-33. [PMID: 22393036] doi:10.7326/0003-4819-156-11-201206050-00419

29. HIV/AIDS Bureau. Integrating Buprenorphine Therapy into HIV Primary Care Settings. Rockville: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2011.

30. Health Resources and Services Administration. About the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program. 2016. Accessed at http://hab.hrsa.gov/about-ryan-white-hivaids-program/about-ryan-white-hivaids-program on 19 October 2016.

31. Korthuis PT, Gregg J, Rogers WE, McCarty D, Nicolaidis C, Boverman J. Patients' reasons for choosing office-based buprenorphine: preference for patient-centered care. J Addict Med. 2010;4: 204-10. [PMID: 21170143] doi:10.1097/ADM.0b013e3181cc9610

32. Conrad C, Bradley HM, Broz D, Buddha S, Chapman EL, Galang RR, et al; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Community outbreak of HIV infection linked to injection drug use of

Annals of Internal Medicine • Vol. 166 No. 4 • 21 February 2017 277

oxymorphone–Indiana, 2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2015; 64:443-4. [PMID: 25928470]

33. LifeSpring Health Systems. About Us: Locations. 2016. Accessed at www.lifespringhealthsystems.org/about-us/locations on 8 August 2016.

34. Jones HE, Kaltenbach K, Heil SH, Stine SM, Coyle MG, Arria AM, et al. Neonatal abstinence syndrome after methadone or buprenorphine exposure. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:2320-31. [PMID: 21142534] doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1005359

35. Jones HE, Johnson RE, Jasinski DR, O'Grady KE, Chisholm CA, Choo RE, et al. Buprenorphine versus methadone in the treatment of pregnant opioid-dependent patients: effects on the neonatal abstinence syndrome. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2005;79:1-10. [PMID: 15943939]

36. Mann C, Frieden T, Hyde PS, Volkow ND, Koob GF. Medication Assisted Treatment for Substance Use Disorders. 2014. Accessed at www.medicaid.gov/Federal-Policy-Guidance/Downloads/CIB-07-11 -2014.pdf on 30 March 2016.

37. Moses K, Klebonis J. Designing Medicaid Health Homes for Individuals with Opioid Dependency: Considerations for States. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. 2015. Accessed at www.medicaid.gov/state-resource-center/medicaid-state-technical -assistance/health-homes-technical-assistance/downloads/healthhomes-for-opiod-dependency.pdf on 30 March 2016.

38. Chen H. Report to the Vermont Legislature: Increasing Access to Opioid Addiction Treatment. 2014. Accessed at www.leg.state.vt.us /reports/2014ExternalReports/299315.pdf on 8 August 2016.

39. Patient-Centered Primary Care Collaborative. Vermont Hub and Spokes Health Homes Statewide. 2015. Accessed at www.pcpcc.org /initiative/vermont-hub-and-spokes-health-homes on 8 August 2016. 40. Vermont Agency of Human Services. Integrated Treatment Continuum for Substance Use Dependence "Hub/Spoke" Initiative–Phase 1: Opioid Dependence. 2012. Accessed at www.healthvermont .gov/adap/documents/HUBSPOKEBriefingDocV122112.pdf on 8 August 2016.

41. Alliance of Community Health Plans. Vermont Health Homes for Opioid Addiction Hub & Spoke Program Overview. 2013. Accessed at www.achp.org/wp-content/uploads/Vermont-Health-Homes-for -Opiate-Addiction-September-2013.pdf on 8 August 2016.

42. Komaromy M, Duhigg D, Metcalf A, Carlson C, Kalishman S, Hayes L, et al. Project ECHO (Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes): a new model for educating primary care providers about treatment of substance use disorders. Subst Abus. 2016;37: 20-4. [PMID: 26848803] doi:10.1080/08897077.2015.1129388

43. **Project ECHO.** ECHO Access Opioid Use Disorder Treatment Guideline: Opioid Abuse and Addiction Management Protocol. 2014. Accessed at http://echo.unm.edu/wp-content/uploads/2014 /10/Opioid-Abuse-and-Addiction-Management-Protocol.pdf on 8 August 2016.

44. **Pupillo J.** Project ECHO Trains, Empowers New Mexico FPs to Provide Subspecialty Care. American Academy of Family Physicians.

2014. Accessed at www.aafp.org/news/chapter-of-the-month /20140930nmafp-chapspot.html on 8 August 2016.

45. **Stoller K.** Innovative Practices in Medication Assisted Treatment and Primary Care Coordination: Linking Buprenorphine Prescribers with Opioid Treatment Programs: Expand Capacity while Improving Quality. 2015. Accessed at www.atforum.com/pdf/CoOPtalk forONDCP_SAMHSAAug2015Stoller.pdf on 8 August 2016.

46. **Stoller KB.** A collaborative opioid prescribing (CoOP) model linking opioid treatment programs with office-based buprenorphine providers. Addict Sci Clin Pract. 2015;10(Suppl 1):A63.

47. Alford DP, LaBelle CT, Kretsch N, Bergeron A, Winter M, Botticelli M, et al. Collaborative care of opioid-addicted patients in primary care using buprenorphine: five-year experience. Arch Intern Med.2011;171:425-31.[PMID:21403039]doi:10.1001/archinternmed .2010.541

48. LaBelle CT, Han SC, Bergeron A, Samet JH. Office-based opioid treatment with buprenorphine (OBOT-B): statewide implementation of the Massachusetts Collaborative Care Model in community health centers. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2016;60:6-13. [PMID: 26233698] doi: 10.1016/j.jsat.2015.06.010

49. D'Onofrio G, O'Connor PG, Pantalon MV, Chawarski MC, Busch SH, Owens PH, et al. Emergency department-initiated buprenorphine/naloxone treatment for opioid dependence: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2015;313:1636-44. [PMID: 25919527] doi:10 .1001/jama.2015.3474

50. Liebschutz JM, Crooks D, Herman D, Anderson B, Tsui J, Meshesha LZ, et al. Buprenorphine treatment for hospitalized, opioid-dependent patients: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2014;174:1369-76. [PMID: 25090173] doi:10.1001 /jamainternmed.2014.2556

51. Pecoraro A, Ma M, Woody GE. The science and practice of medication-assisted treatments for opioid dependence. Subst Use Misuse. 2012;47:1026-40. [PMID: 22676570] doi:10.3109/10826084 .2012.663292

52. Shanahan CW, Beers D, Alford DP, Brigandi E, Samet JH. A transitional opioid program to engage hospitalized drug users. J Gen Intern Med. 2010;25:803-8. [PMID: 20237960] doi:10.1007/s11606 -010-1311-3

53. McConnell KJ. Oregon's Medicaid coordinated care organizations. JAMA. 2016;315:869-70. [PMID: 26847402] doi:10.1001/jama .2016.0206

54. Comer SD, Sullivan MA, Yu E, Rothenberg JL, Kleber HD, Kampman K, et al. Injectable, sustained-release naltrexone for the treatment of opioid dependence: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2006;63:210-8. [PMID: 16461865]

55. Krupitsky E, Nunes EV, Ling W, Illeperuma A, Gastfriend DR, Silverman BL. Injectable extended-release naltrexone for opioid dependence: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre randomised trial. Lancet. 2011;377:1506-13. [PMID: 21529928] doi:10 .1016/S0140-6736(11)60358-9 **Current Author Addresses:** Drs. Korthuis and Weimer: Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Mail Code L-475, Portland, OR 97239-3098.

Dr. McCarty: OHSU-PSU School of Public Health, CB669, 3186 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Portland, OR 97239.

Dr. Devine: University of Washington, Box 357630, Seattle, WA 98195-7630.

Ms. Bougatsos, Mr. Blazina, Drs. Zakher and Chou, and Ms. Grusing: Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Mail Code BICC, Portland, OR 97239.

Author Contributions: Conception and design: P.T. Korthuis, D. McCarty, M. Weimer, B. Devine, R. Chou.

Analysis and interpretation of the data: P.T. Korthuis, D. Mc-Carty, M. Weimer, C. Bougatsos, B. Zakher, S. Grusing, R. Chou.

Drafting of the article: P.T. Korthuis, D. McCarty, C. Bougatsos, R. Chou.

Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: P.T. Korthuis, D. McCarty, M. Weimer, I. Blazina, B. Zakher, B. Devine, R. Chou.

Final approval of the article: P.T. Korthuis, D. McCarty, M. Weimer, C. Bougatsos, I. Blazina, B. Zakher, S. Grusing, B. Devine, R. Chou.

Obtaining of funding: R. Chou.

Administrative, technical, or logistic support: C. Bougatsos, I. Blazina, S. Grusing.

Collection and assembly of data: P.T. Korthuis, D. McCarty, M. Weimer, C. Bougatsos, I. Blazina, B. Zakher, S. Grusing, R. Chou.

Web-Only References

56. Chaudhry AA, Botsko M, Weiss L, Egan JE, Mitty J, Estrada B, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. Participant characteristics and HIV risk behaviors among individuals entering integrated buprenorphine/ naloxone and HIV care. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S14-21. [PMID: 21317589] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e318209d3b9 57. Cheever LW, Kresina TF, Cajina A, Lubran R. A model federal collaborative to increase patient access to buprenorphine treatment in HIV primary care. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S3-6. [PMID: 21317591] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e318209740f 58. Egan JE, Netherland J, Gass J, Finkelstein R, Weiss L; BHIVES Collaborative. Patient perspectives on buprenorphine/naloxone treatment in the context of HIV care. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S46-53. [PMID: 21317594] doi:10.1097/QAI .0b013e3182097561

59. Finkelstein R, Netherland J, Sylla L, Gourevitch MN, Cajina A, Cheever L; BHIVES Collaborative. Policy implications of integrating buprenorphine/naloxone treatment and HIV care. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S98-104. [PMID: 21317602] doi:10 .1097/QAI.0b013e31820a9a97

60. Friedland G, Vlahov D. Integration of buprenorphine for substance-abuse treatment by HIV care providers. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S1-2. [PMID: 21317588] doi:10.1097 /QAI.0b013e31820bc9ba

61. Lum PJ, Little S, Botsko M, Hersh D, Thawley RE, Egan JE, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. Opioid-prescribing practices and provider confidence recognizing opioid analgesic abuse in HIV primary care settings. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S91-7. [PMID: 21317601] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31820a9a82

62. Schackman BR, Leff JA, Botsko M, Fiellin DA, Altice FL, Korthuis PT, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. The cost of integrated HIV care and buprenorphine/naloxone treatment: results of a cross-site evaluation. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S76-82. [PMID: 21317599] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31820a9a66

63. Sullivan LE, Botsko M, Cunningham CO, O'Connor PG, Hersh D, Mitty J, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. The impact of cocaine use on outcomes in HIV-infected patients receiving buprenorphine/ naloxone. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S54-61. [PMID: 21317595] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e3182097576

64. Vergara-Rodriguez P, Tozzi MJ, Botsko M, Nandi V, Altice F, Egan JE, et al; BHIVES Collaborative. Hepatic safety and lack of antiretroviral interactions with buprenorphine/naloxone in HIV-infected opioid-dependent patients. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56 Suppl 1:S62-7. [PMID: 21317596] doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31820 a820f

65. Alford DP, LaBelle CT, Richardson JM, O'Connell JJ, Hohl CA, Cheng DM, et al. Treating homeless opioid dependent patients with buprenorphine in an office-based setting. J Gen Intern Med. 2007; 22:171-6. [PMID: 17356982]

66. Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services. Get Help: Types of Treatment. 2015. Accessed at www.mass.gov/eohhs/gov/departments/dph/stop-addiction/get -help-types-of-treatment.html on 8 August 2016.

67. **Oregon Pain Guidance.** Pain Management Guidance and Tools for Patients, Families, and Healthcare Professionals. 2016. Accessed at www.oregonpainguidance.org on 20 October 2016.

Appendix Table 1. Sample Questions for Key Informants

Key Informant Perspective	Sample Questions
Researchers and clinicians (including professional societies and organizations)	 Guiding questions 1, 2, and 4 from full AHRQ report (11). In addition: What outcomes should be prioritized? In your experience, what MAT models of care have been particularly successful and why? Are there models of care that are particularly suited (e.g., feasibility, applicability) for rural or other underserved settings? How would you categorize the components of MAT models of care? What are barriers to implementation of MAT in primary care settings? What are specific barriers to implementation of community-based psychosocial programs in MAT? How could barriers to implementation be overcome? Are you aware of new or innovative models of care that warrant additional research? What are key research needs to understand effectiveness and implementation of MAT models of care? What types of study designs would be useful for studying new or innovative MAT models of care? What is a meaningful length of follow-up? Are there specific areas related to effectiveness or implementation of MAT models of care that have been sufficiently studied to warrant a systematic evidence review?
Health policy and implementation arenas	 What outcomes of MAT are important from a health policy/payer perspective? What policies do payers put in place to influence use of MAT for treatment of opioid use disorder? How are decisions to cover or implement MAT made at a policy level or at an institutional/clinical setting level? What are some research questions about MAT that you would like answered to inform policy and implementation decisions? Are you considering new policies to improve the use of MAT, particularly in primary care, including rural or other underserved populations? What are cost and/or economic efficiency considerations that impact diffusion, decision making, and/or conceptual thinking around MAT?
Patient perspective	 What values do patients place on various non-substance-use-related outcomes, and how do patients weigh tradeoffs related to different pharmacological and nonpharmacological approaches? What factors or themes are most important to patients receiving MAT? What components of MAT are important for patients to know that they may not be aware of? What common experiences do patients in MAT programs describe? Should the use of MAT programs be expanded, and if so, what settings for patients are most amenable to the implementation of MAT? What barriers do patients experience in obtaining MAT? What suggestions do patients have for improving MAT models of care? What are ethical, privacy, equity, or cost considerations that impact patients' use of MAT?

AHRQ = Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; MAT = medication-assisted treatment.

Appendix Table 2. Search Strategies

Ovid MEDLINE

- 1 exp Opiate Substitution Treatment
- 2 exp Opioid-Related Disorders/dt, pc, px, rh, th
- 3 methadone.mp. or exp Methadone
- 4 buprenorphine.mp. or Buprenorphine
- 5 naltrexone.mp. or Naltrexone
- 6 suboxone.mp.
- 7 3 or 4 or 5 or 6
- 8 2 and 7
- 9 (medicat* adj3 assist* adj3 (treat* or therap* or regimen* or interven* or program*)).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
- 10 ((opiate* or opioid* or narcotic*) adj2 (substitut* or replac* or maint*) adj2 (treatment* or therap* or regimen* or program* or interven*)).ti,ab.
- 11 9 or 10
- 12 2 and 11
- 13 1 or 8 or 12
- 14 limit 13 to english language
- 15 exp Comprehensive Health Care/
- 16 exp Community Health Services/
- 17 exp Outpatients/
- 18 exp Ambulatory Care/
- 19 exp Ambulatory Care Facilities/
- 20 exp General Practice/
- 21 general practitioners/ or physicians, family/ or physicians, primary care/
- 22 exp Health Services Accessibility/
- 23 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22
- 24 (((primary or ambulatory) adj3 care) or ((family or general) adj3 (medicine or practice* or physician* or doctor* or practitioner* or provider*)) or outpatient* or ((communit* or comprehensiv*) adj3 (health* or care))).mp.
- 25 (rural* or underserv* or frontier* or (geograph* adj3 (isolat* or remot*))).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier]
- 26 24 or 25
- 27 23 or 26
- 28 14 and 27
- 29 limit 28 to yr="2005 -Current"
- 30 limit 28 to yr="1902 2004"
- 31 limit 14 to systematic reviews
- 32 limit 14 to (controlled clinical trial or guideline or randomized controlled trial)
- 33 exp epidemiologic study/
- 34 14 and 33
- 35 Comparative Study/
- 36 14 and 35
- 37 exp "Outcome and Process Assessment (Health Care)"/
- 38 14 and 37
- 39 mo.fs.
- 40 exp Death/ 41 exp Vital Statistics/
- 42 39 or 40 or 41
- 43 14 and 42
- 44 exp Evaluation Studies as Topic/
- 45 14 and 44
- 46 exp "costs and cost analysis"/
- 47 14 and 46
- 48 exp Sociological Factors/
- 49 14 and 48 50 exp quality of life/
- 51 14 and 50
- 52 exp health behavior/
- 53 14 and 52
- 54 exp attitude to health/ 55 14 and 54

Downloaded from https://annals.org by guest on 04/24/2020

Annals.org

56 31 or 32 or 34 or 36 or 38 or 43 or 45 or 47 or 49 or 51 or 53 or 55 57 28 or 56

Appendix Table 2-Continued

EBM reviews-Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

- 1 [exp Opiate Substitution Treatment/]
- 2 [exp Opioid-Related Disorders/dt, pc, px, rh, th]
- 3 methadone.mp. or exp Methadone/
- 4 buprenorphine.mp. or Buprenorphine/
- 5 naltrexone.mp. or Naltrexone/
- 6 suboxone.mp.
- 7 3 or 4 or 5 or 6
- 8 2 and 7
- 9 (medicat* adj3 assist* adj3 (treat* or therap* or regimen* or interven* or program*)).mp
- 10 ((opiate* or opioid* or narcotic*) adj2 (substitut* or replac* or maint*) adj2 (treatment* or therap* or regimen* or program* or interven*)).ti,ab
- 11 9 or 10
- 12 1 or 8 or 11

EBM reviews–Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials

- 1 exp Opiate Substitution Treatment/
- 2 exp Opioid-Related Disorders/dt, pc, px, rh, th
- 3 methadone.mp. or exp Methadone/
- 4 buprenorphine.mp. or Buprenorphine/
- 5 naltrexone.mp. or Naltrexone/
- 6 suboxone.mp.
- 7 3 or 4 or 5 or 6
- 8 2 and 7
- 9 (medicat* adj3 assist* adj3 (treat* or therap* or regimen* or interven* or program*)).mp.
- 10 ((opiate* or opioid* or narcotic*) adj2 (substitut* or replac* or maint*) adj2 (treatment* or therap* or regimen* or program* or interven*)).ti,ab.
- 119 or 10
- 12 1 or 8 or 11

PsycINFO

- 1 exp opiates/
- 2 exp drug rehabilitation/
- 3 exp drug dependency/
- 4 2 or 3
- 5 exp drug therapy/
- 6 exp methadone maintenance/
- 7 methadone.mp. or exp Methadone/
- 8 buprenorphine.mp. or Buprenorphine/
- 9 naltrexone.mp. or Naltrexone/

18 limit 17 to english language

19 exp Primary Health Care/

20 exp community services/

22 exp outpatient treatment/

23 exp Maintenance Therapy/

26 exp General Practitioners/

25 exp Ambulatory Care Facilities/

24 exp Ambulatory Care/

27 exp Family Medicine/

28 exp Family Physicians/

30 exp health disparities/

or 31

29 exp Treatment Barriers/

31 exp health care utilization/

21 exp Outpatients/

- 10 suboxone.mp.
- 11 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10
- 12 1 and 4 and 11
- 13 (medicat* adj3 assist* adj3 (treat* or therap* or regimen* or interven* or program*)).mp.
- 14 ((opiate* or opioid* or narcotic*) adj2 (substitut* or replac* or maint*) adj2 (treatment* or therap* or regimen* or program* or interven*)).ti,ab.

32 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 or 30

Annals of Internal Medicine • Vol. 166 No. 4 • 21 February 2017

Continued on following page

15 13 or 14 16 1 and 4 and 15 17 12 or 16

Appendix Table 2-Continued

- 33 (((primary or ambulatory) adj3 care) or ((family or general) adj3 (medicine or practice* or physician* or doctor* or practitioner* or provider*)) or outpatient* or ((communit* or comprehensiv*) adj3 (health* or care))).mp.
- 34 (rural* or underserv* or frontier* or (geograph* adj3 (isolat* or remot*))).mp.
- 35 33 or 34
- 36 32 or 35
- 37 18 and 36
- 38 limit 18 to systematic reviews
- 39 exp treatment outcomes/ or exp treatment effectiveness evaluation/
- 40 18 and 39
- 41 exp "Death and Dying"/ 42 exp mortality rate/
- 42 exp mortaii 43 41 or 42
- 44 18 and 43
- 45 exp "costs and cost analysis"/
- 46 18 and 45
- 47 exp Sociocultural Factors/
- 48 exp socioeconomic status/
- 49 47 or 48
- 50 18 and 49
- 51 exp quality of life/
- 52 18 and 51
- 53 exp health behavior/
- 54 18 and 53
- 55 exp attitudes/
- 56 18 and 55
- 57 38 or 40 or 44 or 46 or 50 or 52 or 54 or 56
- 58 37 or 57

CINAHL

- S1 (MH "Substance Use Disorders+")
- S2 (MH "Narcotics+")
- S3 S1 AND S2
- S4 "methadone"
- S5 "buprenorphine"
- S6 "naltrexone"
- S7 suboxone
- S8 S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7
- S9 S1 AND S8
- S10 (medicat* n3 assist* n3 (treat* or therap* or regimen* or interven* or program*))
- S11 ((opiate* or opioid* or narcotic*) n2 (substitut* or replac* or maint*) n2 (treatment* or therap* or regimen* or program* or interven*))
- S12 S10 OR S11
- S13 S1 AND S12
- S14 S3 OR S9 OR S13
- S15 S3 OR S9 OR S13
- S16 (MH "Primary Health Care")
- S17 (MH "Community Health Services+")
- \$18 (MH "Outpatients") OR (MH "Outpatient Service") OR (MH "Ambulatory Care Facilities+")
- S19 (MH "Family Practice")
- S20 (MH "Physicians, Family")
- S21 (MH "Health Services Accessibility+")
- S22 S16 OR S17 OR S18 OR S19 OR S20 OR S21
- S23 (((primary or ambulatory) n3 care) or ((family or general) n3 (medicine or practice* or physician* or doctor* or practitioner* or provider*)) or outpatient* or ((communit* or comprehensiv*) n3 (health* or care)))
- S24 (rural* or underserv* or frontier* or (geograph* n3 (isolat* or remot*)))
- S25 S23 OR S24
- S26 S22 OR S25
- S27 S15 AND S26
- S28 (MH "Systematic Review")
- S29 (MH "Meta Analysis")
- S30 (MH "Practice Guidelines") OR (MH "Guideline Adherence")
- S31 (MH "Randomized Controlled Trials")

Appendix Table 2-Continued

S32 (MH "Epidemiological Research+") S33 (MH "Prospective Studies+") S34 S28 OR S29 OR S30 OR S31 OR S32 OR S33 S35 S15 AND S34 S36 (MH "Outcomes (Health Care)+") S37 (MH "Vital Statistics+") S38 (MH "Evaluation Research+") S39 (MH "Costs and Cost Analysis+") S40 (MH "Socioeconomic Factors+") S41 (MH "Cultural Values") S42 (MH "Quality of Life+") S43 (MH "Quality-Adjusted Life Years") S44 (MH "Health Behavior+") S45 (MH "Attitude+") S46 S36 OR S37 OR S38 OR S42 OR S43 S47 S15 AND S46 S48 S15 AND S46 S49 S15 AND S34 S50 s48 NOT s49

SocINDEX

- S1 (MH "Substance Use Disorders+")
- S2 (MH "Narcotics+")
- S3 S1 AND S2
- S4 "methadone"
- S5 "buprenorphine"
- S6 "naltrexone"
- S7 suboxone
- S8 S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7
- S9 S1 AND S8
- S10 (medicat* n3 assist* n3 (treat* or therap* or regimen* or interven* or program*))
- S11 ((opiate* or opioid* or narcotic*) n2 (substitut* or replac* or maint*) n2 (treatment* or therap* or regimen* or program* or interven*)) S12 S10 OR S11
- S13 S9 OR S12

EBM = evidence-based medicine.